RAVEN: Perception-aware Optimization of Power Consumption for Mobile Games



Chanyou Hwang (KAIST)*, Saumay Pushp (KAIST)*, Changyoung Koh (KAIST), Jungpil Yoon (KAIST), Yunxin Liu (Microsoft Research), Seungpyo Choi (KAIST), Junehwa Song (KAIST)

*Co-primary authors, order chosen alphabetically.



Rapid Evolution of Mobile Devices

Larger screens, more powerful processors, and bigger batteries.

<image>

2008 2010 2014

2017

NC lab.



Mobile Games?



Battery Killer: Graphics Processing

- Use more power for better graphics.
- Draw 60 frames per second regularly!



NC lab.



Perceptually Redundant Frames

- Drawing **perceptually redundant** frames
 - → Make no change in **human eyes!**
 - \rightarrow Useless



NC lab.

Perceptually Redundant Frames



Perceptually redundant frame

- Perceptually redundant frames are **common** in mobile games.
 - **SSIM** (Structural Similarity)^[1] > $0.975^{[2]} \rightarrow$ Perceptually redundant (similar enough)
- More than **50%** of frames are perceptually redundant in 8 of 10 mobile games.

[1] Wang, Zhou, Alan C. Bovik, Hamid R. Sheikh, and Eero P. Simoncelli. "Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity." IEEE transactions on image processing (2004) [2] Eduardo Cuervo, Alec Wolman, Landon P. Cox, Kiron Lebeck, Ali Razeen, Stefan Saroiu, and Madanlal Musuvathi. Kahawai: High-Quality Mobile Gaming Using GPU Offload. MobiSys '15



Expected Power Saving



^{*}Measured while playing Candy Crush Saga on Nexus 5X

Reducing the **half** of **frame renderings** → **Extends** battery life **42%** more!



Research Problem

How can we reduce perceptually redundant frames in mobile games?

Previous Approaches

	Approaches		
Static	 Limiting Frame Rate^[3] 		
Dynamic	 Content change rate based frame rate scaling^[4] Input-based frame rate scaling^[5] 		

[3] Samsung Game Tuner

[4] Kim, Dongwon, Nohyun Jung, and Hojung Cha. "Content-centric display energy management for mobile devices". DAC 2014.

[5] Yu Yan, Songtao He, Yunxin Liu, and Longbo Huang. "Optimizing Power Consumption of Mobile Games". HotPower '15.

Previous Approaches



Adjusting frame rate

- Coarse-grained approach
- Adjusts the frame rate in a period.



Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Solution Approach
- System Overview
- Technical Challenges
- Evaluation
- Discussion
- Conclusion



Solution Approach

RAVEN optimizes power consumption by reducing perceptually redundant frames

Perception Aware Scaling of Frame Rendering Rate(PAS)

- (1) **Predict** the perceptual similarities with upcoming frames
- ② Skip rendering frames if perceptually similar enough (= redundant)



Predict the perceptual similarity











Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Solution Approach
- System Overview
- Technical Challenges
- Evaluation
- Discussion
- Conclusion

System Overview



- **1. Reads** current frame and **measures** perceptual similarity (to the previous frame)
- 2. Predicts the perceptual similarities to next frames and decides the number of frame skippings (using perceptual similarity thresholds)
- **3. Skips** rendering next **k** frames (**k** is decided in R-Regulator)



Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Solution Approach
- System Overview

Technical Challenges

- Evaluation
- Discussion
- Conclusion

Technical Challenges

Processing high-resolution game graphics (> 1920x1080)

• in hard real-time (< 16.6 ms) with low energy overhead

Supporting **commercial devices** and **games**.

Y-Difference-based perceptual similarity prediction

Reading low resolution virtual display

Customizing Android graphics architecture

Y-Difference-based Perceptual Similarity Prediction

Linear regression using Y-Difference

- Y-Difference of recent two frames
- + Moving average of recent Y-Difference values
 - → **SSIM** of current and next frames

Estimated Perceptual Similarity of f_N (current frame) and f_{N+k} (kth next frame)

 $EPS(f_N, f_{N+k})$

$$= \mathbf{1} - c_{kl_1} \times D_Y(f_{N-l}, f_N) - c_{kl_2} \times ma_w$$

• $D_Y(f_{N-l}, f_N)$ is the Y-Difference of the frames f_{N-l} and f_N .

ma_w is the moving average of the recent Y-Diff scores over window size w



Why Y-Difference?

Why RAVEN uses Y-Difference to predict SSIM?

• SSIM is too heavy and slow for using in mobile devices.



Slow computation (< 13 FPS, > 80ms per frame)

Heavy energy overhead					
(> 13%	of total p	ower con	sumption)		



Y-Difference

Y-Difference (Luminance difference)

• Sum of the **luminance differences** for each pixel



• Human eyes are sensitive to luminance changes in detecting motions.



Y-Difference and SSIM

Y-Difference is a good approximation of SSIM

• It shows high correlation with SSIM





Reading Low Resolution Virtual Display

Virtual Display

- Provided by Android SurfaceFlinger
- Efficient frame cloning aided by

Hardware Composer

 Specialized hardware for composing frames from multiple applications.





Y-Difference in Low Resolution Frames

By reading low-resolution frame, **RAVEN** uses less energy!

• Y-Diff is working at a very low resolution (80x45)



NC lab.

Customizing Android Graphics Architecture





Customizing Android Graphics Architecture



Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Solution Approach
- System Overview
- Technical Challenges

Evaluation

- Discussion
- Conclusion



Evaluation

Experiment Methods / Metrics

Method	Energy Measurement	Video-based Study	User Assessment
		Video quality score,	User assessment score
ivietric	Power consumption	# of frame skippings	(UX)



Target Workloads

Three categories of workloads



Frequency of perceptually redundant frame



Comparisons

	Better user experience	More power saving
Baseline	60 FPS Original, Best user experience	30 FPS Conventional approach (Limiting frame rate)
RAVEN- Enabled	U-PAS User-friendly Perception Aware Scaling (PAS in the paper)	E-PAS Energy-friendly Perception Aware Scaling (PAS++ in the paper)



Energy Measurement

How much can **RAVEN** save energy?

- Measured with 8 games
 - 3 minutes with 5 repetitions for each setting





Power Saving

RAVEN saved up-to **30%** of total power consumption. **E-PAS** saved **22%** and **U-PAS** saved **8%** in average.





Video-based Study

How RAVEN affects the visual quality of mobile games?

Objective video quality assessment models (VMAF, SSIM) Result in the **quality difference** between the original video and target (Processed) videos.





Visual Quality Difference

U-PAS and E-PAS provide almost same visual quality to 60FPS



U-PAS E-PAS 30 FPS



User Assessment

How RAVEN affects UX of mobile games?



12 Participants played 3 games

• Cookie Run (Dynamic), Candy Crush Saga (Hybrid), Solitaire (Static)



Blind Test

Assessing user experiences without informing the setting of each task

- **U-PAS** and **60 FPS** are hard to discriminate.
- E-PAS also shows quality user experience except in Cookie Run





Comparative Test

Direct comparison between E-PAS and 60 FPS

 Most of participants scored either "imperceptible" or "perceptible but not annoying" (except Cookie Run)



Candy Crush Saga Solitaire Cookie Run

Conclusion

S NC lab.

RAVEN: Perception-aware Optimization of Power Consumption for Mobile Games

Reduces perceptually redundant frame renderings

• By using the PAS (Perception-Aware Scaling of frame rendering rate) method

• Three key ideas in the design and implementation

- Y-Difference-based perceptual similarity prediction
- Reading low resolution virtual display
- Customizing Android graphics architecture

• Saves energy while maintaining quality user experience

Thanks!



Questions?